

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,729	12/12/2003	Robert D. Foster	7436-102/10315994	1982
167 75	90 09/22/2005		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT AND JAWORSKI LLP			PRASAD, SONAL	
555 S. FLOWER STREET, 41ST FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/734,729	FOSTER, ROBERT D.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sonal Prasad	3763			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication		the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 August 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	on.	•			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7)						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner				
, —	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	ceived in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a		ceived			
		and the state of the second section in the second section in the second				
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1449)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/12/03</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/734,729 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,3,5,7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsugita et al (US 6,371,969 B1.) Tsugita et al discloses an aortic cannula having a porous flexible material forming an enclosure about the terminus of the aortic cannula, the material provides a substantially greater area through which flow passes than the cross-sectional area of the lumen at the terminus of the cannula. (Fig 3.) Regarding claim 2, Tsugita et al discloses the aortic cannula where the porous flexible material has interstices of sufficient resistance to flow that flow through the porous flexible material is substantially dispersed through the full area of the porous flexible material. (Fig 4A, 4B, 4C) Regarding claim 3. Tsugita et al discloses the aortic cannula having flexible material being knitted wire. (Col 3, Lines 33-35.) Regarding claim 5, Tsugita et al discloses the aortic cannula with knitted wire being made of nitinol wire. (Col 7, Lines 33-34.) Regarding claim 7. Tsugita et al discloses the aortic cannula having an enclosure being made from polymer fabric. (Col 8, Lines 5-15). Regarding claim 8, Tsugita et al discloses an aortic cannula having a porous flexible material forming an enclosure about the terminus of the aortic cannula, the porous flexible material being knitted nitinol wire, the porous flexible material providing a substantially greater area through which

Art Unit: 3763

flow passes than the cross-sectional area of the lumen at the terminus of the cannula and having interstices of sufficient resistance to flow that flow through the porous flexible material is substantially dispersed through the full area of the porous flexible material. (Col 7, Lines 33-34, See Figs 3, 4A, 4B, 4C)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugita et al (US 6,371,969 B1) in view of Rundles (US 3,742,958). The claim differs from Tsugita in disclosing the wire being 16 french. (Col 4, lines 4-5). Rundles discloses the wire as being 16 french which would the appropriate size for the knitted wire. It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a 16 french sizing of the wire as disclosed in Tsugita as taught by Rundles to improve the performance of the wire.
- 5. Claims 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsugita et al (US 6,371,969 B1) in view of Glantz et al. (US 2003/0225434 A1). The claim differs from Tsugita in disclosing the wire being nitinol about .0005 to .005 inches in diameter. Glantz discloses a wire size of .0005 to .005 inches (Page 2, [0029].) It

Application/Control Number: 10/734,729

Art Unit: 3763

would have been obvious at the time of invention to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the nitinol wire sized between .0005 and .005 inches as disclosed in Tsugita as taught by Glantz to improve the performance and fit of the wire inside the aorta.

Page 4

6. Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonal Prasad whose telephone number is 571-272-3383. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700
